

HARRY GEANACOPULOS, et al.,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
NARCONON FRESH START, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to stay. Docket No. 38. Defendant filed a response in opposition and Plaintiffs filed a reply. Docket Nos. 40-43. The Court finds this motion properly decided without oral argument. *See* Local Rule 78-2. Plaintiffs' reply indicates that they agree that discovery in this case should not be stayed. *See* Docket No. 43 at 1. At this stage in the case, it does not appear that there are any other proceedings to be stayed. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 40 at 7. Accordingly, the motion to stay is hereby **DENIED** as premature.

DATED: November 17, 2014

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge